
2019-20 Program Review Evaluation

SEMP

December 2020


José G Carrillo
Director of Institutional Research



In this presentation...


- Review results from 2019-20 Program Review Cycle Evaluation
- Recommendations

Respondents



Count	Response	Percentage
2	Assisted our department to enter program review in SPOL	8.33%
2	Approved an Academic or Service Area Program Review	8.33%





Academic Data



Recommendations on Academic Data

- Provide success & retention numbers as an aggregate
- Have data for the courses within the specific program, instead of individual data sets for courses within each subject matter.





Improving Program Review Process

- More time, fall is too busy
- Data could be more user-friendly, SPOL issues
- Get a more user friendly and intuitive planning software
- Identify budget enhancements prior to the adoption of the budget in May
- Get specific feedback on our program reviews from administration after the process is done
- Have my supervisor meet with me more often to discuss objectives and SWOT



Evaluating SPOL

- A more visually appealing system, one in which reports are readily available
- SPOL serves its purpose, we lost the accreditation warning we had after we implemented it
- Not a fan of SPOL, but this is the same across other CCC that they are all unhappy about their tools
- All tools will be the same, we need to value what program review brings to each program to make sure we take full advantage of this planning process
- User-friendliness is key in any new software

Recommendations

- Revise datasets to meet current needs
- Provide more training on planning tool software
- Evaluate SPOL and other options for planning/assessment
- Other – please provide input



